HEATED DEBATE CONTINUES ON NAFTA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 21, 1997, the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. Brown] is recognized during morning hour debates for 5 minutes.

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Madam Speaker, as the President prepares to ask Congress for fast track negotiating authority, heated debate continues on the economic effects of the North American Free Trade Agreement. There is no debate, however, on the serious threat that NAFTA poses to food safety in the United States.

In an effort to increase trade with Mexico, NAFTA limits border inspections of food, it allows Mexican trucks to enter the United States with limited inspection. As a result, NAFTA is directly responsible for a significant increase in imports of contaminated foods into the United States.

These lax inspection procedures contributed to a sharp increase in food imports from Mexico. Imports of Mexican fruit have increased 45 percent, and vegetable imports have increased 31 percent. More than 70 percent of these imports are carried into the United States by truck.

As the General Accounting Office recently documented, these trucks, many of which have been identified as dangerous themselves, pass through the border uninspected, bringing increasing amounts of food tainted with diseases and unhealthy pesticides. In fact, the GAO found that over 99 percent of Mexican trucks coming into the United States were never inspected, and of those that were inspected, almost half of them were found to be unsafe.

We were alarmed earlier this year when 179 Michigan schoolchildren contracted hepatitis after eating tainted Mexican strawberries. In order to prevent similar incidents in the future, the United States should, first, renegotiate the provisions in NAFTA which relate to border inspections and food safety and ensure that any future requests for fast track authority include strong food safety protections; second, increase the funding for border inspections or, alternatively, limit the increasing rate of food imports to ensure the safety of our food supply in this country so what happened in Michigan does not happen in other States across the country; and third, begin an aggressive program to label all foodstuffs, including fresh and frozen fruits, vegetables, and meats with their coun-

try of origin.

We must work with the President to address these serious deficiencies in our trade policy and to ensure that these same mistakes are not made in the future. Let us get off the fast track for unsafe foods. The health of our families is too important to go fast. Let us slow down on negotiating fast track. Let us slow down and craft trade agreements that contain meaningful food safety protections.

Again, remember these numbers: More than 99 percent of trucks that come into the United States from Mexico have never been inspected. Of those that are inspected, almost half of them have been found to be unsafe, and only about 1 percent of food that is coming into the United States, fruits and vegetables, frozen and fresh, are inspected. That is what is so important as we debate fast track authority in September for the coming year. It is important that we include those food safety elements in the fast track agreement.

BIPARTISAN AGREEMENT ON TAX RELIEF FOR AMERICANS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 21, 1997, the gentleman from Iowa [Mr. GANSKE] is recognized during morning hour debates for 5 minutes.

Mr. GANSKE. Madam Speaker, Congressmen and women from both sides of the aisle are just getting the details on the balance-the-budget plan and the tax cut plan that has been agreed to by the congressional leadership and the administration. The details look good, and I am happy to see this morning that we are getting bipartisan support for this tax cut bill and for this spending bill.

There will be a lot of important things in this bill for the average citizen in this country. One of the details I heard about last night was that we will move up the deductibility of our health insurance for the self-employed to 100 percent. I do not have the details to tell over what period of time, whether that will be immediate or not, but I know that this is part of the budget.

As a physician, I have been very much concerned about making health care more affordable for the average citizen, and by making 100 percent of one's premium deductible will help people afford health insurance. This will put an awful lot of people back on to health insurance that are not on it now.

One of the other issues that is in the tax bill that affects people in my district, where I have a large farming community, is that they will be able to income-average over 3 years. People who farm know that some years they have good years and some years they have bad years, but over a period of time is how one sets aside funds for one's retirement, one's pension. By being able to income-average over 3 years, one will be able to smooth out those bumps and those lows, and I think it will be a good thing for farm communities and farmers.

When we look at children's health, we are adding a lot more dollars into that to enable people to pick up health insurance for their children. There will be a number of ways for flexibility for people and States to implement that additional funding.

People say, well, look, why did we not come to this agreement earlier? Part of the reason is that a decision had to be made on where to find the funding. Part of that additional fund-

ing comes from an increased tax on tobacco. I favor that. As a physician, I have treated people who smoke who have had lung cancer and throat cancer, mouth cancer. It also increases heart disease. Tobacco is not good for our health; everyone recognizes that. An increase of 10 cents per pack will get some additional moneys back into the health system, and to help people afford health insurance I think is the right way to go.

When we look back over the last 4 years, we have had some immense battles here on the floor, but today and last night, as the administration, as Congress have come together on a bipartisan agreement, I think we are getting past that, we are getting on with the Nation's business. We are going to help save Medicare, we are going to provide tax cuts for working families, we are going to save Medicare for our senior citizens, and I think we are going to balance the budget.

Let us keep our fingers crossed that the economy goes well over the next 5 or 6 years. But by moving toward a balanced budget, we are going to help ensure that the economy does well, and by freeing up capital with capital gains, we are going to increase jobs and help the economy grow.

Madam Speaker, I think that we have made a lot of progress. I think we will see the rhetoric lowered on this floor, and I think the vast majority of people from the House and the Senate are going to support this piece of legislation, and I am very happy to be a Member of Congress today.

NAFTA HAS FAILED THE ENVIRONMENTAL TEST

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 21, 1997, the gentleman from California [Mr. FILNER] is recognized during morning hour debates for 5 minutes.

Mr. FILNER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to join my colleagues in a discussion of NAFTA, the North American Free Trade Agreement, because it is of significant importance, not only to our country, but to my district in particular

NAFTA's rationales of the global economy, world trade and environment, are really local issues for those of us, as I do, that live along the United States-Mexico border. I represent part of the city of San Diego; I represent a good part of the California-Mexican border; and I will tell my colleagues that from our observation on the scene, NAFTA has failed the environmental test. NAFTA has failed the environmental test.

The region that I represent includes Tijuana, the fastest growing city in Mexico, thanks to NAFTA and the Maquiladora program. In Tijuana, over 100,000 people work at approximately 1,000 of these plants that we call maquiladoras. Most of them are United States-owned. These factories range